## IBVS - KONKOLY OBSERVATORY, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY - ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT - ToC ENTRY - META-INFORMATION - THIS ISSUE IN [PS] [PDF] FORMAT

## COMMISSIONS 27 AND 42 OF THE IAU INFORMATION BULLETIN ON VARIABLE STARS

Number 5716

Konkoly Observatory Budapest 18 July 2006 HU ISSN 0374 - 0676 (print) HU ISSN 1587 - 2440 (online)

## **BVRI photometry of DX And: the Autumn** 2005 Outburst

Spogli, C.<sup>1,2</sup>; Fiorucci, M.<sup>1</sup>; Capezzali, D.<sup>1,2</sup>; Rocchi, G.<sup>2</sup>; Mancinelli, V.<sup>2</sup>; Brunozzi, P.<sup>2</sup>; Fagotti, P.<sup>2</sup>

Physics Department, University of Perugia, Via A. Pascoli, 06123 Perugia, Italy
Porziano Astronomical Observatory, Via Santa Chiara 2, Assisi, Italy

SIMBAD object(s): DX And

*Type(s):* UG


*Keyword(s):* photometry

*Abstract:* In this paper we report BVRI observations of the dwarf nova DX And during the 2005 September-October Outburst. Moreover, optical variability of DX And in quiescence has been observed and studied.

DX And is a well-known dwarf nova with a long outburst recurrence time (270-330 days, Simon 2000) and a long orbital period (P = 10.6 hours, Bruch et al. 1997). Only few known cataclysmic variables have similar characteristics, and for this reason it has been extensively studied by many astronomers. Spectroscopic observation were made by Bruch (1989) who reports that DX And exhibits a considerable contribution of the secondary star to the continuum energy distribution as well as the line spectrum. During the years 1981-1999, the brightest outbursts reach up to about 11.5 mag<sub>vis</sub> from a typical quiescent level of 14-14.7 mag<sub>vis</sub> (Simon 2000). Ritter and Kolb (1998) report a wider range: DX And varies from V=16.5 at minimum to V=10.9 at the maximum of brightness.

In this brief paper we present the results of our observations made in the years 2003 and 2005 at the Porziano Astronomical Observatory, Monte Subasio Astronomical Association. We used the 0.30-m Schmidt-Cassegrain f/6.5 telescope, equipped with an AP-32ME CCD camera (Kodak 3200-ME, 2184×1470 pixels) and Johnson-Cousins B V R<sub>c</sub> I<sub>c</sub> photometric filters. The exposure time was


60-300 s depending on the brightness of the object. The frames were first corrected for standard debiasing and flat-fielding, and then processed by a PC-based aperture photometry package developed by one of the authors. The magnitudes were determined relative to the calibration stars reported by Spogli et al. (1998). Calibrations done with standard Landolt stars show negligible color effects in the V, R<sub>c</sub> and I<sub>c</sub> bands, while B data have been corrected and the reported standard deviations take into account this effect. Heliocentric corrections to observed times were applied before the following analysis.



Phase-diagram of DX And in quiescence considering an hypothetical period of 10.645 days. Dotted line is the sinusoidal best fit. This variation is superimposed to an ellipsoidal variation well defined by Hilditch (1995).

		Table 1					
UT Date	HJD	R <sub>c</sub>	UT Date	HJD	R <sub>c</sub>		
	(2452000+)			(2452000+)			
18/07/2003	839.387	$14.67 \pm 0.05$	11/08/2003	863.346	$14.90 \pm 0.05$		
19/07/2003	840.339	14.53±0.04	12/08/2003	864.391	$14.70 \pm 0.10$		
20/07/2003	841.329	$14.82 \pm 0.03$	13/08/2003	865.373	$14.45 \pm 0.03$		
21/07/2003	842.326	$14.82 \pm 0.04$	14/08/2003	866.320	$14.50 \pm 0.03$		
22/07/2003	843.329	$14.73 \pm 0.03$	15/08/2003	867.311	$14.37 \pm 0.03$		
23/07/2003	844.322	$14.40 \pm 0.05$	16/08/2003	868.316	$14.74{\pm}0.03$		
24/07/2003	845.326	$14.62 \pm 0.03$	17/08/2003	869.366	14.55±0.03		
25/07/2003	846.388	$14.59 \pm 0.03$	18/08/2003	870.299	$14.54{\pm}0.03$		
26/07/2003	847.322	$14.63 \pm 0.04$	19/08/2003	871.293	$14.48 \pm 0.04$		
27/07/2003	848.323	$14.71 \pm 0.04$	20/08/2003	872.294	$14.47 \pm 0.03$		
28/07/2003	849.333	$14.50 \pm 0.03$	21/08/2003	873.297	$14.89 \pm 0.04$		
01/08/2003	853.381	$14.64 \pm 0.03$	22/08/2003	874.349	14.63±0.03		
03/08/2003	855.349	$14.78 \pm 0.05$	23/08/2003	875.293	14.68±0.03		

05/08/2003	857.453	14.49±0.03	13/09/2003	896.265	14.51±0.03		
06/08/2003	858.381	$14.46 \pm 0.04$	15/09/2003	898.248	$14.48 \pm 0.04$		
07/08/2003	859.361	14.66±0.04	16/09/2003	899.301	14.59±0.03		
08/08/2003	860.312	14.59±0.03	17/09/2003	900.274	14.46±0.03		
09/08/2003	861.319	14.78±0.03	18/09/2003	901.295	$14.44 \pm 0.03$		
10/08/2003	862.323	14.73±0.05	19/09/2003	902.261	14.57±0.05		
11/08/2003	863.342	14.97±0.03	20/09/2003	903.258	$14.61 \pm 0.03$		
		Table 2					
UT Date	HJD	В	V	R <sub>c</sub>	Ic		
	(2453000+)						
26/09/2005	640.414	12.48±0.04	12.38±0.04	12.21±0.03	12.12±0.02		
03/10/2005	647.386	12.09±0.08	12.03±0.02	11.86±0.04	11.71±0.02		
09/10/2005	653.393	13.29±0.05	13.11±0.02	$12.87 \pm 0.02$	12.65±0.02		
11/10/2005	655.341	14.26±0.07	$13.89 \pm 0.02$	$13.52{\pm}0.02$	13.22±0.02		
12/10/2005	656.342		$14.55 \pm 0.05$	$14.05 \pm 0.05$	13.61±0.03		
14/10/2005	658.324	$15.78 \pm 0.05$	$14.99 \pm 0.04$	$14.37{\pm}0.02$	$14.01 \pm 0.02$		
15/10/2005	659.399	16.18±0.05	$15.09 \pm 0.02$	$14.38 \pm 0.02$	13.96±0.03		
18/10/2005	662.351	16.21±0.07	$15.07 \pm 0.02$	$14.34{\pm}0.02$	13.87±0.02		
22/10/2005	666.344	15.77±0.08	$15.07 \pm 0.02$	$14.39{\pm}0.02$	13.84±0.02		
24/10/2005	668.325	15.93±0.05	$15.02 \pm 0.02$	$14.38 \pm 0.02$	13.91±0.02		
25/10/2005	669.365	15.70±0.06	$15.01 \pm 0.02$	$14.44{\pm}0.04$	13.94±0.03		
26/10/2005	670.364	15.99±0.05	$15.16 \pm 0.02$	$14.47 \pm 0.02$	$14.02 \pm 0.02$		
29/10/2005	673.333	$16.10 \pm 0.05$	$15.04{\pm}0.02$	$14.53{\pm}0.03$	13.99±0.02		
30/10/2005	674.349	16.20±0.05	15.15±0.03	$14.52{\pm}0.03$	$14.01 \pm 0.02$		
31/10/2005	675.263	$16.07 \pm 0.05$	$15.13 \pm 0.03$	$14.51{\pm}0.02$	13.97±0.03		
02/11/2005	677.435	16.15±0.05	$15.24{\pm}0.03$	$14.57 \pm 0.02$	14.06±0.03		
27/11/2005	702.361	$16.11 \pm 0.05$	$15.20{\pm}0.02$	$14.56 \pm 0.02$	$14.04 \pm 0.02$		



V light curve of DX And during Autumn 2005 (left panel), filled circles represent our data, while small crosses are visual estimates available from AFOEV (cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/afoev/). The right panel shows our BVRI data only: it is evident the different color indices from the outburst to the minimum, and the internal variability during quiescence.

During the year 2003, DX And was observed for a total of 40 photometric nights only with the R<sub>c</sub> filter and it was always in quiescence (Table 1). The variable oscillates between  $R_c = 14.4$  and  $R_c$ =~15.0, with an average of  $R_c = -14.63$ . In quiescence and at these wavelengths the system is dominated by the late-type secondary and its ellipsoidal variations: this is a familiar pattern for long-period cataclysmic binaries. Hilditch (1995) studied R and I variations of DX And during five consecutive nights, ten orbital cycles, and he found an ellipsoidal variation of amplitude 0.13 mag, superimposed to additional variability. We have already analyzed intra-night data to verify the ellipsoidal variation (Spogli, Fiorucci & Tosti 1998), so we collected data with a longer time-scale with the aim to obtain information about the additional variability. However, periodograms and other statistical tools are not able to find evidence of strict periodicity with the data reported in Table 1. The analysis is seriously biased by the data sampling  $(\pm 1, \pm 2 \text{ c/d alias frequencies})$  that makes correct identification of the frequency components ambiguous. The most probable results are obtained for P=10.645 days (65 %, Fig. 1), P=0.912 day (58 %), P=0.47625 day (55 %), and P=0.4482 day (50 %). Probably the latter can be identified with the actual value of the orbital period, while the additional variability showed by DX And during quiescence is of an unknown origin.

In the year 2005, DX And was monitored from September 26 to November 11 with the B V R<sub>c</sub> I<sub>c</sub>

photometric bands, for a total of 17 photometric nights (see Table 2). It was in outburst and we followed part of the rise and the decline (Fig. 2). The profile and the time-scales confirm the results obtained by Simon (2000). Also the color indices are in substantial agreement with our previous  $BVR_cI_c$  observations (Spogli et al. 1998). However, these new data increase the historical database

on this variable source and they can help to constrain theoretical models.

## **References**:

Bruch, A., 1989, A&AS, 78, 145 (<u>1989A&AS...78..145B</u>)

Bruch, A., Vrielmann, S., Hessman, F.V., et al., 1997, A&A, 327, 1107 (1997A&A...327.1107B)

Hilditch, R.W., 1995, MNRAS, 273, 675 (1995MNRAS.273..675H)

Ritter H., & Kolb U., 1998, A&AS, 129, 83 (1998A&AS..129...83R)

Simon, V., 2000, A&A, 364, 694 (2000A&A...364..694S)

Spogli C., Fiorucci M., & Tosti G., 1998, A&AS, 130, 485 (1998A&AS..130..485S)